	11			
1	Philip T. Emmons (SBN 124902)			
2	Law Office of Philip T. Emmons 1990 North California Blvd., 8 th Floor			
3	Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3742 T: (925) 287-6436			
4	F: (925) 287-6437			
5	Attorney for Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc.			
б	Margaret Carew Toledo (SBN 181227)			
. 7	Mennemeier, Glassman & Stroud LLP 980 9th Street, Suite 1700			
8	Sacramento, CA 95814 T: (916) 551-2592			
9	F: (916) 553-4011	·		
10	Attorneys for Defendant Vemma Nutrition Company			
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
.12	COUNTY OF ALAMEDA			
13				
14	ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER, INC.,	Case No.		
15		[PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT		
16	Plaintiff,	JUDGMENT		
17	v.			
18	VEMMA NUTRITION COMPANY,			
19	Defendant.	·		
20				
21				
22	1. INTRODUCTION			
23	1.1 This Action arises out of alleged violations of California's Safe Drinking Water			
24	and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health and Safety Code§25249.5, et seq. (also			
25	known as and hereinafter referred to as "Proposition 65").			
26	1.2 Plaintiff Environmental Research Center, Inc. ("ERC"), a California non-profit			
27	corporation acting as a private enforcer of Proposition 65, brings this Action in the public intere			
26	pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(d).			
		(1) [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
1	[LKOLOPED] SITLATURE COMPRET ADDRESS			

- 1.3 Defendant Vemma Nutrition Company ("Defendant") is an Arizona corporation. For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, Vemma acknowledges that it employs ten or more persons, and it is a "person in the course of doing business" within the meaning of Proposition 65.
- 1.4 Defendant and ERC are hereinafter sometimes referred to individually as a "Party" or collectively as the "Parties".
- 1.5 On September 13, 2010, pursuant to California Health and Safety Code § 25249.7(d)(1), ERC served a Notice of Violations of Proposition 65 ("Notice of Violations") on the California Attorney General, other public enforcers, and Defendant. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Violations is attached hereto as Exhibit A. The Notice of Violations contains allegations that Defendant manufactured, distributed and/or sold in California the following three products ("Covered Products"), which contain lead, a chemical listed under Proposition 65 as a carcinogen and reproductive toxin:

New Vision Cleanse, Burn & Balance New Vision OPC Grape Seed Extract New Vision Organic Greens Green Apple Flavor

Neither the California Attorney General nor any other public enforcer has filed suit against Defendant with regard to the Covered Products or the alleged violations.

1.6 More than 70 days after service of the Notice of Violations, ERC filed the Complaint in this Action (the "Complaint") for injunctive relief and civil penalties. The Complaint, based on the Notice of Violations, contains allegations that Defendant has exposed and continues to expose persons in California who use and/or handle the Covered Products to the chemical lead in excess of the exposure levels allowed under Proposition 65 without first providing clear and reasonable warnings, in violation of California Health and Safety Code §25249.6. Defendant denies all material allegations contained in the Notice of Violation and the Complaint, asserts numerous affirmative defenses to the allegations of violations, and specifically denies that the Covered Products require Proposition 65 warnings or otherwise harm any person.

- 1.7 The Parties enter into this Consent Judgment in order to settle disputed claims between them and to avoid prolonged litigation.
- 1.8 Nothing in this Consent Judgment, nor compliance with this Consent Judgment, shall constitute or be construed as an admission by the Parties of any fact, issue of law, or violation of law. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as giving rise to any presumption or inference of admission or concession by Defendant as to any fault, wrongdoing or liability whatsoever, including, but not limited to, any alleged violation of Proposition 65.
- 1.9 Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Judgment shall prejudice, waive or impair any right, remedy or defense that the Parties may have in any other or further legal proceedings. This paragraph shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities, and duties of any Party to this Consent Judgment.
- 1.10 The "Effective Date" of this Consent Judgment shall be the date this Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 1.11 The only products covered by this Consent Judgment are the Covered Products, and the only chemical covered by this Consent Judgment is the chemical lead as specifically related to the Covered Products only.

2. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and personal jurisdiction over the Parties, that venue is proper in this Court, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter a Consent Judgment pursuant to the terms set forth herein.

3. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 3.1 Testing of the Covered Products
- (a) Beginning the Effective Date and continuing for four years thereafter, Defendant shall test for lead content in three randomly selected samples (in the form intended for sale to the end-user) of every lot of each of the Covered Products that is manufactured on or after the Effective Date. The three samples shall be randomly selected for such testing using a sound statistical sampling plan, and shall be identified in Defendant's request to the laboratory for

.

 testing as being submitted pursuant to this Consent Judgment.

- (b) Defendant shall have either of the following two laboratories perform the testing for lead content using Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) or any other testing method agreed upon in writing by the Parties:
- (1) Exova, 9240 Santa Fe Springs Road, Santa Fe Springs, CA 90670; telephone (562) 948-2225.
- (2) American Analytical Chemistry Laboratories Corp., 711 Parkland Court, Champaign, IL 61821; telephone (217) 352-6060.

 Should neither of these two laboratories be available to perform the testing, Defendant shall use another laboratory certified by the California Environmental Accreditation Program or a laboratory that is approved by, accredited by, or registered with the United States Food and Drug Administration.
- (c) Defendant shall provide ERC any test results within 15 days of any written request from ERC.
- (d) For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, daily lead exposure levels shall be measured in micrograms, and shall be calculated using the following formula: micrograms of lead per gram of product (lead content found using the above described testing), multiplied by grams of product per serving of the product (using the serving size appearing on the product label), multiplied by servings of the product per day (using the largest number of servings in a recommended dosage appearing on the product label), which equals micrograms of lead exposure per day.
- (e) Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall limit Defendant's ability to conduct, or require that others conduct, additional testing of the Covered Products, including the raw materials used in their manufacture.
- (f) The testing and sampling methodology set forth in this Section 3.1 is a result of negotiation and compromise, and is accepted by the Parties for the purposes of settling, compromising, and resolving the issues in this action, including future compliance with Sections 3.1 and 3.2 of this Consent Judgment, and shall not be used for any purpose or in any other

б

matter, except for the purposes of determining future compliance with this Consent Judgment.

3.2 Warnings

If the above described testing shows an average daily lead exposure level of greater than 0.5 micrograms for any lot of the Covered Products, none of the product from that lot shall be distributed or sold in California unless the following warning is provided on the product labels of any of the product from that lot distributed or sold in California:

WARNING: This product contains [lead], [a] chemical[s] known to the State of California to cause [cancer and] birth defects or other reproductive harm.

The text in brackets in the warning above is optional, except that the term "cancer" must be included only if the maximum daily dose recommended on the label contains more than 15 micrograms of lead.

In the event that a warning is used, the warning shall be prominently affixed to or printed upon the product label with such conspicuousness, as compared with other words, statements or designs on the label, so as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary purchaser or user of the product. The warning shall be at least the same size as the largest of any other health or safety warnings on the product and the word "warning" shall be in all capital letters and in bold print.

3.3 Change in Recommended Dosage for New Vision OPC Grape Seed Extract

Defendant shall change the language of the Recommended Dosage on the product labels for the product New Vision OPC Grape Seed Extract to include the following: "Do not exceed two capsules per day."

3.4 Discontinuation of Product New Vision Organic Greens Green Apple Flavor

Defendant acknowledges that it has discontinued the distribution and sales of the product New Vision Organic Greens Green Apple Flavor, and Defendant agrees it will not reintroduce that product into the marketplace, meaning Defendant will not market, distribute and/or sell that product at any time after the Effective Date.

3.5 Products in the Stream of Commerce

The injunctive relief set forth in this Section 3 shall not apply to Covered Products that

5

4

7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

Total Payment

In full and final satisfaction of civil penalties, payment in lieu of further civil penalties, ERC's expenses and costs of litigation, and ERC's attorney fees, Defendant shall, within 10 days after the Effective Date, issue a single check in the amount of \$90,000 ("Total Settlement Amount"), made payable to "Environmental Research Center - ERC Escrow Account", and send the check by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, directly to ERC at the following address:

> Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Defendant puts into the stream of commerce before the Effective Date.

Defendant shall also issue a single IRS Federal Tax Form 1099 for the above payment to ERC. Sections 4.2-4.5 below describe the agreed partition of the Total Settlement Amount.

Civil Penalty 4:2

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$10,000 shall be considered a civil penalty pursuant to California Health and Safety Code §25249.7(b)(1). ERC shall remit 75% (\$7,500) of the civil penalties to the Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment ("OEHHA") for deposit in the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Fund in accordance with California Health and Safety Code §25249.12(c), and a copy of the transmittal letter will be sent to Defendant's counsel. ERC will retain the remaining 25% (\$2,500) of the civil penalty.

Payment in Lieu of Further Civil Penalties 4.3

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$24,312.50 shall be considered a payment to ERC in lieu of further civil penalties for activities such as (1) funding the investigating, researching and testing of consumer products that may contain Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (2) funding grants to California non-profit foundations/entities dedicated to public health;

(3) funding the ERC Eco Scholarship Fund for high school students in California interested in pursuing an education in the field of environmental sciences; (4) funding ERC's Operation Education Program designed to provide funding to educators in the State of California public

school system for creative and effective environment and environmental sciences teaching projects; (5) funding ERC's Voluntary Compliance Program to work with companies not subject to Proposition 65 to reformulate their products to reduce potential consumer exposures to Proposition 65 listed chemicals; (6) funding ERC's RxY Program to assist various medical personnel to provide testing assistance to independent distributors of various products; (7) funding ERC's Got Lead? Program to assist consumers in testing products for lead content; (8) funding post-settlement monitoring of past consent judgments; (9) funding to maintain ERC's database of lead-free products, Proposition 65-compliant products and contaminated products; (10) funding to track and catalog Proposition 65-compliant, contamination-free sources of ingredients used in the products ERC tests; and (11) funding the continued day to day business of enforcement of Proposition 65 matters which address contaminated ingestible products, similar to the subject matter of this Action.

4.4 Reimbursement of Expenses and Costs

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$15,000 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its reasonable investigation costs associated with the enforcement of Proposition 65 and other expenses and costs incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendant's attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest.

4.5 Attorney Fees

As a portion of the Total Settlement Amount, \$40,687.50 shall be considered a reimbursement to ERC for its attorney fees.

5. COSTS AND FEES

Except as expressly set forth herein in Section 4, each Party shall bear its own attorneys' fees, costs and expenses in this Action.

6. RELEASE

- 6.1 This Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution between ERC, on its own behalf and in the public interest, and Defendant of any alleged violation of Proposition 65 or its implementing regulations for the Covered Products regarding lead.
 - 6.2 ERC, acting on its own behalf and in the public interest, releases Defendant, and

. 7

.17

.

its officers, directors, shareholders, employees, agents, parent companies, subsidiaries, divisions, affiliates, franchisees, licensees, successors, assigns and attorneys, and suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, wholesalers, retailers and all other entities in the distribution chain of any of the Covered Products ("Released Parties"), from all claims for violations of Proposition 65 up through the Effective Date based on exposure to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations and the Complaint.

- 6.3 Compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment constitutes compliance with Proposition 65 with respect to exposures to lead from the Covered Products as set forth in the Notice of Violations.
- Parties from any and all known and unknown past, present, and future rights, claims, causes of action, suits, damages, penalties, liabilities, injunctive relief, declaratory relief, and attorney's fees, costs, and expenses arising from or related to the claims asserted, or that could have been asserted, under state or federal law, regarding the presence of lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date or the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint, including without limitation any and all claims concerning exposure of any person to lead in the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date.

6.5 Unknown Claims

It is possible that other injuries, damages, liability, or claims not now known to the Parties arising out of the facts alleged in the Notice of Violations or the Complaint and relating to the Covered Products that were manufactured before the Effective Date will develop or be discovered. ERC, on behalf of itself only, also waives California Civil Code section 1542 as to any such unknown claims. California Civil Code section 1542 reads as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

6.6 ERC on behalf of itself only, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand,

·14

 release and waive all claims they may have against each other and their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys for any statements or actions made or undertaken by them or their respective officers, directors, employees, agents, representatives and attorneys in connection with the Notice of Violations or this Action.

MOTION FOR COURT APPROVAL

- 7.1 Upon execution of this Consent Judgment by the Parties, ERC shall notice a Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment in Alameda County Superior Court pursuant to 11 California Code of Regulations §3000, et seq. This motion shall be served upon all Parties and upon the California Attorney General's Office. Defendant and ERC shall use their best efforts to support entry of this Consent Judgment in the form submitted to the Court for approval.
- 7.2 If, after service of the Motion for Approval & Entry of Consent Judgment, the California Attorney General objects in writing to any term in this Consent Judgment or files an opposition to the motion, the Parties shall use their best efforts to resolve the concern in a timely manner prior to the hearing on the motion. If the concern of the California Attorney General is not resolved prior to the hearing on the motion, any Party may withdraw from this Consent Judgment prior to the date of the hearing, with notice to all Parties in accordance with Paragraph 16 below and notice to the California Attorney General's Office, and upon such notice this Consent Judgment shall be null and void.
- 7.3 This Consent Judgment shall be effective only after it has been entered by the Courtand shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties.

8. RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

This Court shall retain jurisdiction of this matter to enforce, modify, or terminate this Consent Judgment.

9. MODIFICATION OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

This Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court may be modified only upon written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or upon a regularly noticed motion of any Party to modify the Consent Judgment and upon entry

·14

of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court.

10. ENFORCEMENT OF CONSENT JUDGMENT; GOOD FAITH ATTEMPT TO RESOLVE DISPUTES

In the event a dispute arises with respect to any Party's compliance with the terms and/or conditions of this Consent Judgment after its entry by the Court, the Party seeking compliance of another Party shall make a good faith attempt to resolve the dispute by conferring with the other Party in person, by telephone or by correspondence before seeking relief from the Court. If the dispute is not resolved after such an attempt, this Consent Judgment may be enforced in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure §664.4 or any other valid provision of the law. The prevailing party in any such dispute brought to this Court for resolution shall be awarded all reasonable costs and attorney's fees. As used in the preceding sentence, the term "prevailing party" means a party who is successful in obtaining relief more favorable to it than the relief the other party was agreeable to providing during the Parties' good faith attempt to resolve the dispute that is the subject of such an enforcement proceeding.

11. SEVERABILITY OF UNENFORCEABLE PROVISIONS

In the event that, after entry of this Consent Judgment in its entirety, any of the provisions hereof are subsequently held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions shall not be adversely affected.

12. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California.

13. DRAFTING

The terms of this Consent Judgment have been reviewed by the respective counsel for the Parties prior to its signing, and each Party has had an opportunity to fully discuss the terms and conditions with its counsel. The Parties agree that, in any subsequent interpretation or construction of this Consent Judgment, no inference, assumption or presumption shall be drawn, and no provision of this Consent Judgment shall be construed against any Party, based on the fact that one of the Parties and/or one of the Parties' counsel prepared and/or drafted all or any

deemed to constitute one document. A facsimile or pdf signature shall be construed as valid as the original signature.

16. **NOTICES**

All notices required by this Consent Judgment to be given to any Party shall be sent by first-class registered or certified mail, or overnight delivery, to the following:

FOR ERC:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

26

Chris Heptinstall, Executive Director Environmental Research Center 3111 Camino del Rio North, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92108

Philip T. Emmons 20 Law Office of Philip T. Emmons 1990 North California Blvd., 8th Floor 21 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-3742

Karen A. Evans 23 Law Office of Karen A. Evans 4218 Biona Place 24 San Diego, CA 92116 25

FOR DEFENDANT:

Allison Tengan 27 Vemma Nutrition Company 8322 E. Hartford Drive 28 Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Margaret Carew Toledo
Mennemeier, Glassman & Stroud LLP
980 9th Street, Suite 1700
Sacramento, CA 95814

, 9

17. AUTHORITY TO STIPULATE TO THIS CONSENT JUDGMENT

Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized by that Party to stipulate to the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party, to enter into and execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party, and to legally bind that Party to this Consent Judgment. Each person signing this Consent Judgment on behalf of a Party represents and warrants that he or she has read and understands this Consent Judgment, and agrees to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment on behalf of that Party.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

Dated: 3/13/2012

ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER

Chris Heptinstall

Executive Director

Dated: 3-12-2012

VEMMA MUTRIMON COMPANY

Ву:

Chief Executive Officer

	-		
1	APPROVED AS TO FORM:		
2 3 4 5	Dated: 3/13/12 LAW OFFICE OF PHILIP T. EMMONS By: Philip T. Emmons		
7	Attorney for Plaintiff ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH CENTER		
8 9	Dated: 3-12-12 MENNEMEIER, GLASSMAN & STROUD LLP		
10	By: Marguet Cour Toles		
.11	Margaret Carew Toledo		
12	Attorney for Defendant VEMMA NUTRITION COMPANY		
13	·		
14			
15	ORDER AND JUDGMENT		
16	Based on the Parties' stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor, this Consent		
17	Judgment is approved and judgment is hereby entered according to its terms.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED.		
19			
20	Dated;		
21	Judge of the Superior Court		
22			
23			
24			
25	EXHIBIT A - [Notice of Violations to Defendant]		
26			
27			
28			
	(13) [PROPOSED] STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT		
1.			

EXHIBIT A

LAW OFFICES OF

ANDREW L. PACKARD

100 PETALUMA BLVD N, STE 301, PETALUMA, CA 94952
PHONE (707) 763-7227 Fax (707) 763-9227
INFO@PackardLawOffices.com

September 13, 2010

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Current CEO or President New Vision USA, Inc. 8322 E Hartford Dr Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Benson K. Boreyko, Director (New Vision USA, Inc.'s Agent for Service of Process) 8322 E. Hartford Drive Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Current CEO or President Vemma Nutrition Company 8322 E Hartford Dr Scottsdale, AZ 85255

National Registered Agents, Inc. (Vemma Nutrition Company's Agent for Service of Process) 2875 Michelle Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92606

Re: Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq.

Dear Sirs,

This firm represents the Environmental Research Center (hereafter, "ERC"), a non-profit corporation organized under California's Non-Profit Public Benefit Corporation Law in connection with this notice of violations of California's Safe Drinking Water & Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, codified at Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. (also referred to as "Proposition 65").

ERC is dedicated to, among other causes, reducing the use and misuse of hazardous and toxic substances, consumer protection, worker safety and corporate responsibility. ERC has documented the violations of Proposition 65 described herein, and this letter serves to provide notification of these violations to you and to the public enforcement agencies. Pursuant to §25249.7(d) of the statute, ERC intends to bring an enforcement action sixty (60) days after effective service of this notice unless the public enforcement agencies have commenced and are diligently prosecuting an action to rectify these violations. A summary of the statute and its implementing regulations, which was prepared by the lead agency designated under the statute, is enclosed with the copy of this notice served upon the violator(s).

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 13, 2010 Page 2

The names of the violator(s) covered by this notice are: New Vision USA, Inc., and Vemma Nutrition Company (hereafter, the "Violator(s)"). The Violator(s) manufacture, market, distribute and/or sell in California the following products causing exposures to lead and lead compounds:

New Vision Cleanse, Burn & Balance New Vision OPC Grape Seed Extract New Vision Organic Greens Green Apple Flavor

On February 27, 1987, the State of California officially listed lead as a substance known to cause reproductive toxicity. On October 1, 1992, the State of California officially listed lead and lead compounds as a substance known to cause cancer.

Route of exposure. The consumer exposures that are the subject of this notice result from the purchase, acquisition, handling and recommended use of these products by consumers. Accordingly, consumer exposures have occurred and continue to occur primarily through the ingestion route, but also may occur through the inhalation and/or and dermal contact routes of exposure.

Duration of violations. Each of these ongoing violations has occurred on every day since at least September 13, 2007, as well as every day since the products were introduced in the California marketplace, and will continue every day until clear and reasonable warnings are provided to product purchasers and users.

Based on the allegations set forth in this Notice, ERC intends to file a citizen enforcement action against the Violator(s) unless the Violator(s) agree in an enforceable written instrument to: (1) recall products already sold; (2) take effective measures to prevent unwarned lead exposures from being caused by products sold in the future; and (3) pay an appropriate civil penalty. In keeping with the public interest goals of the statute and my client's objectives in issuing this notice, ERC is interested in seeking a constructive resolution of this matter. Such resolution will avoid both further unwarned consumer exposures to lead and expensive and time-consuming litigation. ERC's Executive Director is Chris Heptinstall and the organization's mailing address is: 5694 Mission Center Road, #199, San Diego, CA 92108. Tel. (619) 309-4194. However, ERC has retained this firm in connection with this matter; therefore, all communications regarding this Notice of Violation may be directed to my attention at the above-listed firm address and telephone number.

Very Truly Yours,

Andrew L. Packard

Attachments:

ØEHHA Summary (to New Vision USA, Inc., Vemma Nutrition Company, and their Registered Agents of Process only)

Certificate of Merit (Additional Supporting Information to AG only)

Certificate of Service

List of Service

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 13, 2010 Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF MERIT

Re: the Environmental Research Center's Notice of Proposition 65 Violations Issued to New Vision USA, Inc., and Vemma Nutrition Company

I, Andrew L. Packard, declare:

- 1. This Certificate of Merit accompanies the attached sixty-day notice in which it is alleged the party in the notice has violated Health & Safety Code §25249.6 by failing to provide clear and reasonable warnings.
 - 2. I am an attorney for the noticing party.
- 3. I have consulted with one or more persons with relevant and appropriate experience or expertise who has reviewed facts, studies, or other data regarding the exposure to the listed chemicals that are the subject of the action.
- 4. Based on the information obtained through those consultations, and on all other information in my possession, I believe there is a reasonable and meritorious case for the private action. I understand that "reasonable and meritorious case for the private action" means that the information provides a credible basis that all elements of the plaintiff's case can be established and that the information did not prove that the alleged violator will be able to establish any of the affirmative defenses set forth in the statute.
- 5. The copy of this Certificate of Merit served on the Attorney General attaches to it factual information sufficient to establish the basis for this certificate, including the information identified in Health and Safety Code §25249.7(h)(2), i.e., (1) the identity of the persons consulted with and relied on by the certifier, and (2) the facts, studies, or other data reviewed by those persons.

111111

Dated: September 13, 2010	Colle to feel
	Andrew L. Packard

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 13, 2010 Page 4

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the following is true and correct:

I am a citizen of the United States, over the age of 18 years of age, and am not a party to the within entitled action. My business address is 306 Joy Street, Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia 30742

On September 13, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT; "SAFE DRINKING WATER AND TOXIC ENFORCEMENT ACT OF 1986: A SUMMARY"

on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Current CEO or President New Vision USA, Inc. 8322 E Hartford Dr Scottsdale, AZ 85255

Benson K. Boreyko, Director (New Vision USA, Inc.'s Agent for Service of Process) 8322 E. Hartford Drive Scottsdale, AZ 85255 Current CEO or President Vemma Nutrition Company 8322 E Hartford Dr Scottsdale, AZ 85255

National Registered Agents, Inc. (Vemma Nutrition Company's Agent for Service of Process) 2875 Michelle Drive, Suite 100 Irvine, CA 92606

On September 13, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT (including supporting documentation required by Title 11 CCR §3102) on the following parties by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to the party listed below and depositing it in a US Postal Service Office for delivery by Certified Mail:

Office of the California Attorney General Prop 65 Enforcement Reporting 1515 Clay Street, Suite 2000 Post Office Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550

On September 13, 2010, I served the following documents: NOTICE OF VIOLATION, CALIFORNIA HEALTH & SAFETY CODE §25249.5 ET SEQ.; CERTIFICATE OF MERIT on each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope, addressed to each of the parties on the Service List attached hereto, and depositing it with the U.S. Postal Service for delivery by Priority Mail.

Executed on September 13, 2010, in Fort Oglethorpe, Georgia.

Chris Heptinstall

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 13, 2010

Page 5

Service List

District Attorney, Alameda County 1225 Fallon Street, Room 900 Oakland, CA 94612

District Attorney, Alpine County P.O. Box 248 Markleeville, CA 96120

District Attorney, Amador County 708 Court Street, #202 Jackson, CA 95642

District Attorney, Butte County 25 County Center Drive Oroville, CA 95965

District Attorney, Calaveras County 891 Mountain Ranch Road San Andreas, CA 95249

District Attorney, Colusa County 547 Market Street Colusa, CA 95932

District Attorney, Contra Costa County 900 Ward Street Martinez, CA 94553

District Attorney, Del Norte County 450 H Street, Ste. 171 Crescent City, CA 95531

District Attorney, El Dorado County 515 Main Street Placerville, CA 95667

District Attorney, Fresno County 2220 Tulare Street, #1000 Fresno, CA 93721

District Attorney, Glenn County Post Office Box 430 Willows, CA 95988

District Attorney, Humboldt County 825 5th Street Eureka, CA 95501

District Attorney, Imperial County 939 West Main Street, Ste 102 El Centro, CA 92243

District Attorney, Inyo County 230 W. Line Street Bishop, CA 93514

District Attorney, Kern County 1215 Truxtun Avenue Bakersfield, CA 93301 District Attorney, Kings County 1400 West Lacey Boulevard Hanford, CA 93230

District Attorney, Lake County 255 N. Forbes Street Lakeport, CA 95453

District Attorney, Lassen County 220 South Lassen Street, Ste. 8 Susanville, CA 96130

District Attorney, Los Angeles County 210 West Temple Street, Rm 345 Los Angeles, CA 90012

District Attorney, Madera County 209 West Yosemite Avenue Madera, CA 93637

District Attorney, Marin County 3501 Civic Center, Room 130 San Rafael, CA 94903

District Attorney, Mariposa County Post Office Box 730 Mariposa, CA 95338

District Attorney, Mendocino County Post Office Box 1000 Ukiah, CA 95482

District Attorney, Merced County 2222 M Street Merced, CA 95340

District Attorney, Modoc County 204 S Court Street, Room 202 Alturas, CA 96101-4020

District Attorney, Mono County Post Office Box 617 Bridgeport, CA 93517

District Attorney, Monterey County 230 Church Street, Bldg 2 Salinas, CA 93901

District Attorney, Napa County 931 Parkway Mall Napa, CA 94559

District Attorney, Nevada County 110 Union Street Nevada City, CA 95959

District Attorney, Orange County 401 Civic Center Drive West Santa Ana, CA 92701

Notice of Violations of California Health & Safety Code §25249.5 et seq. September 13, 2010 Page 6

District Attorney, Placer County 10810 Justice Center Drive, Ste 240 Roseville, CA 95678

District Attorney, Plumas County 520 Main Street, Room 404 Quincy, CA 95971

District Attorney, Riverside County 4075 Main Street, 1st Floor Riverside, CA 92501

District Attorney, Sacramento County 901 "G" Street Sacramento, CA 9581

District Attorney, San Benito County 419 Fourth Street, 2nd Floor Hollister, CA 95023

District Attorney, San Bernardino County 316 N. Mountain View Avenue San Bernardino, CA 92415-0004

District Attorney, San Diego County 330 West Broadway, Room 1300 San Diego, CA 92101

District Attorney, San Francisco County 850 Bryant Street, Room 325 San Francsico, CA 94103

District Attorney, San Joaquin County Post Office Box 990 Stockton, CA 95201

District Attorney, San Luis Obispo County 1050 Monterey Street, Room 450 San Luis Obispo, CA 93408

District Attorney, San Mateo County 400 County Ctr., 3rd Floor Redwood City, CA 94063

District Attorney, Santa Barbara County 1105 Santa Barbara Street Santa Barbara, CA 93101

District Attorney, Santa Clara County 70 West Hedding Street San Jose, CA 95110

District Attorney, Santa Cruz County 701 Ocean Street, Room 200 Santa Cruz, CA 95060

District Attorney, Shasta County 1525 Court Street, Third Floor Redding, CA 96001-1632

District Attorney, Sierra County PO Box 457 Downieville, CA 95936 District Attorney, Siskiyou County Post Office Box 986 Yreka, CA 96097

District Attorney, Solano County 675 Texas Street, Ste 4500 Fairfield, CA 94533

District Attorney, Sonoma County 600 Administration Drive, Room 212J Santa Rosa, CA 95403

District Attorney, Stanislaus County 832 12th Street, Ste 300 Modesto, CA 95353

District Attorney, Sutter County 446 Second Street Yuba City, CA 95991

District Attorney, Tehama County Post Office Box 519 Red Bluff, CA 96080

District Attorney, Trinity County Post Office Box 310 Weaverville, CA 96093

District Attorney, Tulare County 221 S. Mooney Avenue, Room 224 Visalia, CA 93291

District Attorney, Tuolumne County 423 N. Washington Street Sonora, CA 95370

District Attorney, Ventura County 800 South Victoria Avenue Ventura, CA 93009

District Attorney, Yolo County 301 2nd Street Woodland, CA 95695

District Attorney, Yuba County 215 Fifth Street Marysville, CA 95901

Los Angeles City Attorney's Office City Hall East 200 N. Main Street, Rm 800 Los Angeles, CA 90012

San Diego City Attorney's Office 1200 3rd Avenue, Ste 1620 San Diego, CA 92101

San Francisco City Attorney's Office City Hall, Room 234 1 Drive Carlton B Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102

San Jose City Attorney's Office 200 East Santa Clara Street San Jose, CA 95113